Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Wendy A. Loughlin, Michelle A. McCleary and Peter C. Healy\*

School of Science, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane 4111, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: p.healy@griffith.edu.au

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.006 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.053 wR factor = 0.174 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound,  $C_{15}H_{20}O_3S$ , shows a conformational arrangement of the phenyl ring that permits hydrogen-bonded

(1RS,2RS,6SR,8SR)-2-Methyl-8-(phenyl-

sulfonyl)bicyclo[4.2.0]octan-1-ol

dimers to be disposed about a centre of symmetry.

Received 3 June 2004 Accepted 7 June 2004 Online 12 June 2004

## Comment

The preparation of fused carbocyclic ring systems bearing a bridgehead hydroxyl group has been achieved by the reaction between the lithium enolates of simple unfunctionalized ketones and phenyl vinyl sulfoxide (Loughlin *et al.*, 2002; Loughlin & McCleary, 2003; Healy *et al.*, 2002; Loughlin *et al.*, 2003). In the current study, reaction of the lithium enolate of 2-methylcyclohexan-1-one (obtained from lithium diisopropylamide, LDA) with phenyl vinyl sulfoxide and subsequent oxidation with *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA) generated a novel methylbicyclo[4.2.0]octan-1-ol, compound (I).



Bicyclo[4.2.0]octan-1-ols with a methyl substituent proximal to the bridgehead have been observed as key intermediates in synthetic and mechanistic studies (Blanchard & Burnell, 2001; Syah & Ghisalberti, 1998; Jamart-Gregoire et al., 1995). Under the present unoptimized reaction conditions, (I) was formed as the major bicyclo[4.2.0]octan-1-ol isomer in a 21:14:65 ratio of (I):(II):(III) and (IV) from 2-methylcyclohexan-1-one and phenyl vinyl sulfoxide (see Scheme). Here we report the synthesis, isolation and solid state structural characterization of the novel bicyclo[4.2.0]octan-1-ol, (I) (Fig. 1). The S-O3 bond in conjunction with the phenyl group is directed away from the bicyclo[4.2.0]alkan-1-ol ring, whereas the S-O2 bond is oriented towards this ring. The structure of (I) is stabilized by three-centred bifurcated intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl H and sulfone O atoms, forming a dimeric structure disposed about a crystallographic centre of symmetry (Table 2, Fig. 2). This differs from the chain-like intermolecular hydrogen-bonding pattern previously observed in the analogues of (I) that lack the C2 methyl group (Healy et al., 2002; Loughlin et al., 2003).

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) plot, showing the atomic numbering scheme for the molecule of (I). Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

Hydrogen-bonded dimeric structure of (I). The primes correspond to symmetry code (i) in Table 2.

# **Experimental**

2-Methylcyclohexanone (0.5 ml, 4.119 mmole) was reacted with lithium diisopropylamide (1.4 M, 2.95 ml, 4.119 mmol) in THF (44.5 ml) at 273 K under nitrogen over a period of 1 h. The reaction vessel was shielded from light. Rapid addition of phenyl vinyl sulfoxide (0.55 ml, 3.119 mmol) at 263 K with a 10 min reaction time and work-up as described elsewhere (Loughlin et al., 2002) gave the crude sulfoxide mixture (1.074 g). This was subsequently oxidized with m-CPBA (1 equiv) in chloroform (50 ml). Work-up of the reaction mixture as described elsewhere (Loughlin et al., 2002) was followed by silica chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 60:40). A mixture of compounds (I)-(IV) (658 mg, 57%) was obtained. An analytically pure sample of compound (I) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC (hexane-ethyl acetate, 80:20, retention time 11.8 min, 3 ml min<sup>-1</sup>). Colourless crystals of compound (I) (m.p. 383.2–384.6 K) were isolated by slow evaporation of a hexane-ethyl acetate (80:20) solution of the compound. Analysis found: C 64.52, H 7.36, S 11.32%; calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>: C 64.25, H 7.19, S 11.43%.

Crystal data

$C_{15}H_{20}O_{3}S$	$D_x = 1.217 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 280.38$	Mo K $\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 24
a = 12.328 (6) Å	reflections
b = 10.362 (4)  Å	$\theta = 12.8 - 16.0^{\circ}$
c = 12.149 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 99.60 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 295  K
$V = 1530.2 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless
Z = 4	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^\circ$ 

 $h = -7 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: none

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 13$ 

# Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer  $\omega$ –2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction: none 3987 measured reflections 3516 independent reflections 1717 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.067$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0801P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.174$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.98	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
3516 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
173 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

\$1-02	1 441 (2)	S1-C9	1 764 (4)
S1-O3 S1-C8	1.442 (2) 1.765 (4)	01–C1	1.404 (4)
O2-S1-O3 O2-S1-C8	118.05 (14) 109.69 (15)	O1-C1-C6 O1-C1-C8	117.1 (3) 119.4 (3)
O2-S1-C9 O3-S1-C8 O2-S1-C9	108.36 (15) 106.78 (15) 107.55 (15)	S1-C8-C1 S1-C8-C7 S1-C9-C10	117.3 (2) 112.1 (3) 120.2 (2)
$C_{8}-S_{1}-C_{9}$ $C_{8}-S_{1}-C_{9}$ $O_{1}-C_{1}-C_{2}$	107.55 (15) 105.74 (18) 107.6 (3)	S1 - C9 - C10 S1 - C9 - C14	120.2 (3)

### Table 2

O1−H1···O2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).						
$\overline{D-\mathrm{H}\cdot\cdot\cdot A}$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	-		

 $O1 - H1 \cdots O2$ 0.96 2.02 Symmetry code: (i) 2 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z.

0.96

H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.95 and O-H =0.96 Å) and refined as riding, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  (parent atom).

2.44

Data collection: MSC/AFC-7 Diffractometer Control Software for Windows (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); cell refinement: MSC/AFC-7 Diffractometer Control Software for Windows; data reduction: TEXSAN for Windows (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997-2001); program(s) used to solve structure: TEXSAN for

3.036 (4)

2.918 (3)

 $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ 

120

154

Windows; program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN for Windows* and *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2001) and *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN* for Windows and *PLATON*.

The authors thank Griffith University and the Australian Research Council for financial assistance.

### References

- Blanchard, A. N. & Burnell, D. J. (2001). Tetrahedron Lett. 42, 4779-4781.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Healy, P. C., Loughlin, W. A., McCleary, M. A., Pierens, G. K. & Rowen, C. C. (2002). J. Phys. Org. Chem. 15, 733–741.

- Jamart-Gregoire, B., Mercier-Girardot, S., Ianelli, S., Nardelli, M. & Caubere, P. (1995). *Tetrahedron*, **51**, 1973–1984.
- Loughlin, W. A. & McCleary, M. A. (2003). Org. Biomol. Chem. 1, 1347-1353.
- Loughlin, W. A., McCleary, M. A. & Healy, P. C. (2003). Acta Cryst. E**59**, 0789–0791.
- Loughlin, W. A., Rowen, C. C. & Healy, P. C. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 296–302.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1997–2001). *TEXSAN for Windows*. Version 1.06. MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1999). *MSC/AFC-7 Diffractometer Control Software for Windows*. Version 1.02. MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- Spek, A. L. (2001). *PLATON for Windows*. Version 121201. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Syah, Y. M. & Ghisalberti, E. L. (1998). J. Chem. Res. Synop. pp. 608-609.